	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/629,593	TANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
S	Michael C. Miggins	1772
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>nor</u>	n-final rejection
(1) Michael C. Miggins.	(3)	
(2) Steve Allis.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner's amendment approved.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative S	signature – if appropriate)